Governments all around the world have implemented measures to slow the spread of COVID-19 (Hale et al. 2020). These measures range from complete lockdowns to general advice on practicing social distancing. Such policies are more effective if adhered to at scale. While adherence to such measures is relatively high in high-income countries (Everett et al. 2020; Barari et al. 2020), compliance in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) might be...
significantly more difficult or costly. For example, limited access to water might prevent regular handwashing.

Changing perceptions of social norms around health behaviour has the potential to increase compliance with mitigation policies (Reid et al. 2010). We focus in this brief on LMICs, although lessons may be relevant elsewhere. This so-called “social norms” approach is particularly promising if individuals misperceive their peers’ behaviours and attitudes (Dempsey et al. 2018).

Over and underestimation of the health behaviour of peers has been documented (Berkowitz 2005; Dickie et al. 2018; Miller and Prentice 1996) and has been found to influence behaviour in many contexts. While there is no representative data on such perceptions with regards to COVID-19 in low-and middle-income countries yet, Fetzer et al. (2020) provide evidence that there might be widespread underestimation of compliance with preventive behaviours globally. They find that individual perceptions of social norms are strongly correlated with self-reported intentions to adhere to preventative behaviours. This suggests that messages appealing to social norms have the potential to increase compliance with public health guidelines.

WHAT CAN WE LEARN FROM EXISTING EVIDENCE?

When designing effective and persuasive social-norms based messages to increase compliance, there are several key factors to consider.

1. Messages should provide information on aligned descriptive social norms (describing typical behaviour) and/or injunctive social norms (describing desirable behaviour)

Combining both types of social norms can have the largest effect on behaviour (Cialdini 2003, Schultz et al. 2008). Information on descriptive norms (messages like “90% of people are wearing face masks in public”) can induce norm-consistent behaviour in a wide range of settings such as littering (Cialdini et al. 1990), towel usage (Goldstein et al. 2008), recycling (Shultz 1999) electricity usage (Nolan et al. 2008), tax evasion (Kahan 1997), dietary choices (Robinson et al. 2014) amongst others.

In addition, information on injunctive norms (messages like “90% of your neighbours think that everyone should stay at home”) have also been shown to change behaviour. For example, Bursztyn et al. (2018) show that correcting perceptions of husbands about the social approval of female labour force participation in Saudi Arabia led to higher labour force participation of their
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2 First studies have tested messages appealing to pro-sociality, mentioning health risks, and making moral arguments in high-income countries (Barari et al. 2020; Everett et al. 2020; Utych and Fowler 2020). However, effect sizes on intended behaviour are modest at best, which is partially due to very high average levels of compliance.

3 Fetzer et al. (2020) recruited more than 100,000 respondents in 50 countries, including several low- and middle-income countries, via social and traditional media to answer an online survey on social norms and COVID-19. They find that a vast majority of respondents underestimate the fraction of people in their country who think that others should comply with COVID-19 prevention behaviour. The authors use survey weights to account for the non-representativeness of their sample, but it is likely that it is still skewed towards richer and more urban households. Representative data from LMICs are needed to confirm their hypothesis with poorer and more rural populations.
wives. When designing these messages it is important to provide truthful information, both for ethical reasons and to ensure the credibility of the information. This might require data collection prior to the messaging.⁴

Pairing descriptive social norms with prescriptive social norms, that is conveying social approval can further improve the effectiveness. Gerber and Rogers (2007) find that in the context of voter turnout, *positive* descriptions of social norms lead to better outcomes than *negative* descriptions, even when both were paired with an injunctive norm.⁵ Kromos et al. 2014 provides further evidence that descriptive social norms should highlight positive behaviour and where possible, should be accompanied by a prescriptive injunctive norm in the context of sustainable transportation. Therefore, “90% of people are washing hands frequently (positive descriptive norm), please continue to wash your hands frequently (prescriptive injunctive norm)” would likely perform better than either of the messages alone.

2. Information on descriptive norms should promote positive behaviour

There is evidence that descriptive norms describing undesirable behaviour alone may have unintended consequences by normalising such behaviour, leading to worse outcomes and a boomerang effect (Nolan 2017; Yamin et al. 2019). Examples of this include descriptions of widespread theft in a national park (Cialdini et al. 2006) and descriptions of average electricity consumption (Schultz et al. 2007; Alcott 2011) leading to an increase in undesirable behaviour, especially amongst compliers.⁶ This means one should say “90% of people stay at home” rather than “10% of people do not stay at home”. The negative effect of describing undesirable behaviour can often be mitigated when turned into a proscriptive injunctive norm - conveying social disapproval - such as “a majority of people think that you should not visit your relatives over the next few weeks” (Cialdini et al. 1991; Schultz et al. 2007).

3. Messages should describe reference groups with which the recipient identifies and should be context specific

When deciding on which social norms to promote, it is important to pick an effective reference group. People who have a strong identification with a specific reference group are more likely to comply with that group’s social norms (Phua 2013; Christensen et al. 2004). Therefore, providing information about neighbours might be more effective than providing information about population averages (Dempsey et al. 2018).⁷
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⁴ Where available, existing online panels provide a relatively cheap and fast way of doing so. In lower-income countries, phone surveys with pre-existing samples might be more practical. Market research companies might also have existing samples of the population.

⁵ Gerber and Rogers (2007) compare pairs of injunctive norms with descriptive norms about voter turnout that are positive, such as “nearly 20% more...citizens voted than in the previous...election” with those that are negative, such as “less than 10% of...citizens actually voted” and find that the positive descriptive norms were more effective at motivating voters.

⁶ In the context of electricity consumption, Schultz et al. (2007) and Alcott (2011) find that subgroups with relatively low electricity usage rates (the compliers) actually increased their electricity usage towards the norm.

⁷ However, providing such information truthfully can be a challenge especially when messages need to go out quickly. In this case, focussing on larger demographic or geographic subgroups can be an alternative.
Additionally, these messages should be context specific to the recipients in order to resonate more powerfully. Goldstein et al. (2008) find that context-specific descriptive statements targeted at hotel guests like “the majority of guests in this room reuse their towels” were more effective at changing behaviour than other appeals. Fornara et al. (2011) find that context-specific norms about recycling at the neighbourhood level performed well. Furthermore, the targeted behavior needs to be relevant and realistic to change. For example, messages about avoiding crowded public transport systems would be more relevant in urban settings and less relevant in rural settings.

4. Targeting messages can increase the reach and increase the effectiveness of messaging campaigns

Cash transfer recipients are the obvious target for messages conveyed with cash-transfers, however, messages can be spread through social networks and reach an audience beyond immediate recipients (Christakis et al. 2013). Kim et al. (2018) find that targeting health interventions at individuals nominated by friends did increase health knowledge and behaviour among non-targeted individuals. Moreover, groups that are particularly unlikely to comply with guidelines or that are particularly receptive to social norms messaging are the most promising target. In the context of COVID-19, evidence from high-income countries suggests that these are often young and to a lesser extent male individuals (Barari et al. 2020; Utych and Fowler 2020). When targeting specific groups, thinking about the means of delivery is also important. Messages could be conveyed in-person or through leaflets to cash transfer recipients when funds are dispersed in person. If funds are dispersed electronically (repeated) digital communication might be more adequate. This has important implications for the implementation of targeting and the design of messages, particularly for illiterate populations.

---

8 These context specific norms are sometimes referred to as local or provincial norms.
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